

Powered by Albion® Technology

Balchem[®] Plant Nutrition Research Paper

EFFECT OF METALOSATE[®] IRON APPLICATIONS ON PEAR UNDER BELGIAN CONDITIONS

Jozef Vercammen and Ann Gomand Pcfruit-Proeftuin Pit- en Steenfruit Belgium

Introduction and Aims

Iron deficiency doesn't occur very much in Belgium, but when it happens, it isn't always easy to solve. The standard method is an application through the soil. This application is very dependent on the weather and the pH. Therefore, it is interesting to compare this method with the foliar application of Metalosate[®] Iron.

Experimental Design

Different treatments have been applied on 5-year-old Conference [aka Bartlett] Pear on Quince Adams [root stock]. We did one soil application in March, and this application was immediately followed by water in order to reduce the degradation by sunlight. We started with the foliar application after bloom and we sprayed every 2 weeks. Because of the extremely high temperatures in June and July, we treated less during this period. In August, the weather conditions were too bad and we decided to stop the treatments.

The treatments in the experiment have 4 replications. The trial is located at the Experimental Garden, on a parcel where the leaf analyses showed that the iron values were at the bottom line of the optimal values.

				Total Dose of
Treatment	Dos	se	Date	Iron/Season
1. Fe-EDTA	7 x 1.00 k	kg/Ha	9 May, 24 May, 7 June,	0.88 kg/Ha
foliar application	(7 x 0.89 l	lbs./acre)	22 June, 7 July, 27 July, 10 Aug	(0.79 lbs./acre)
2. Fe-EDDHA	1 x 15.00 k	kg/Ha	29 March	0.90 kg/Ha
soil application	(1 x 13.38 I	lbs./acre)		(0.80 lbs./acre)
3. Control	-	-	-	-
4. Metalosate [®] Iron	7 x 1.30 l	L/Ha	9 May, 24 May, 7 June,	0.44 kg/Ha
foliar application	(7 x 1.16 l	lbs/acre	22 June, 7 July, 27 July, 10 Aug	(0.39 lbs./acre)
5. Metalosate [®] Iron	7 x 0.80 l	L/Ha	9 May, 24 May, 7 June,	0.27 kg/Ha
foliar application	(7 x 10.96 f	fl. oz./acre)	22 June, 7 July, 27 July, 10 Aug	(0.24 lbs./acre)
+Fe-EDDHA	1 x 15.00 k	kg/Ha	29 March	0.90 kg/Ha
soil application	(1 x 13.38 I	lbs./acre)		(0.80 lbs./acre)

Table 1 Treatment Program

Results

Production 2006

At harvest, the yield and the size was measured. In the following table these results are summarized. Conference was picked on 11 September.

Treatment	kg/Tree	Number of Pears	Average Fruit Weight (g)
1. Fe-EDTA foliar application	21.5 ^a	156 ^a	139 ^b
2. Fe-EDDHA soil application	17.4 ^b	110 ^b	161 ^a
3. Control	20.7 ^{ab}	139 ^{ab}	157 ^{ab}
 Metalosate[®] Iron foliar application 	26.7 ^a	205 ^a	131 ^b
5. Metalosate [®] Iron foliar application Fe- + EDDHA soil application	23.2 ^a	179 ^a	137 ^b

Table 2Yield and Size of Pears

Figure 1 shows the histogram of the fruit size.



Figure 1. Size of Conference Pear

Leaf and Fruit Analyses

Iron Level in the Leaves. One week after each iron application, a leaf sample was analysed to follow the evolution. The next figure shows this evolution. The optimal value of iron is between 60 and 150 ppm.



Figure 2. Evolution of Iron in the Leaves During the Growing Season

4

At harvest, a sample of the old leaves on the one-year old shoot was analysed.



Figure 3. Leaf Iron Content of the Old Leaves on the One-Year Old Shoot

Leaf Analyses (General). Figure 4 gives the results of the leaf analysis of all treatments at harvest. We give the

evolution of the value of nitrogen (between 2.0 and 3.0%).



Figure 4. Evolution of the Leaf Analysis of Nitrogen

The leaves were measured with a Hydro N-Tester™. This apparatus measures the chlorophyll content, which

should be an indication for the value of nitrogen in the leaf.



Figure 5. Evolution of the Hydro N-Tester™ Results

We evaluated the correlation between the Hydro N-Tester[™] value, and the value of nitrogen and magnesium in the leaves.

Table 3 Correlation between the Hydro N-Tester™ Value, and the Value of Nitrogen and Magnesium in the Leaves

Correlation	Hydro N-Tester™	N	Mg
Hydro N-Tester [™]	1		
N	-0.51	1	
Mg	0.82	-0.41	1

In the next table, the results of the leaf analyses of all treatments at harvest are summarized.

Table 4Mineral Content of Leaves

	%				ppm	
Treatment	N	Р	K	Mg	Min	Fe
1. Fe-EDTA foliar application	2.2	0.12	0.54	0.36	147	173
2. Fe-EDDHA soil application	2.2	0.12	0.57	0.33	146	65
3. Control	2.2	0.11	0.50	0.40	162	56
 Metalosate[®] Iron foliar application 	2.3	0.12	0.45	0.36	177	166
 Metalosate[®] Iron foliar application + Fe-EDDHA soil application 	2.3	0.11	0.38	0.39	171	115
Optimal Values	2.0-3.0	0.15-0.5	1.25-2.25	0.25-0.5	30-100	60-150

Fruit Analyses. At harvest, the mineral content of the fruits was also examined.

	ppm						
Treatment	Ν	Р	К	Ca	Mg	Min	Fe
1. Fe-EDTA foliar application	60.3	12.8	115	5.8	5.9	0.07	0.16
2. Fe-EDDHA soil application	50.9	13.4	135	5.7	6.0	0.07	0.19
3. Control	55.3	12.4	115	5.1	5.4	0.07	0.15
 Metalosate[®] Iron foliar application 	53.6	12.0	110	5.2	5.2	0.07	0.16
 Metalosate[®] Iron foliar application + Fe-EDDHA soil application 	55.0	11.9	113	5.2	5.5	0.07	0.16
Optimal Values	65-80	>10	120-160	6-10	6-10	0.09-0.15	>0.10

Table 5Mineral Content of Fruits

Fruit Quality

Harvest. The percentage yellow pears was also determined.



Figure 6. Percentage Yellow Pears at Harvest

At harvest, the fruit quality was also determined by means of firmness, sugar content, and starch value.

Treatment	Firmness (kg/0.5 cm²)	Sugar content (° Brix)	Starch value (1-10)
 Fe-EDTA foliar application 	5.2	12.9	8.4
2. Fe-EDDHA soil application	5.3	14.8	7.7
3. Control	5.4	13.8	8.4
 Metalosate[®] Iron foliar application 	5.4	13.0	8.8
 Metalosate[®] Iron foliar application + Fe-EDDHA soil application 	5.4	13.2	8.4

Table 6 Fruit Quality

After Storage. From every treatment, a sample was stored in controlled atmosphere storage until February. After storage and after shelf life, the ground

colour was determined. The following figure gives the evolution of the number of green pears.



Figure 7. Evolution of Green Pears after Storage

Discussion

Leaves

The evolution of the iron in the leaves varies between the different treatments. The highest level is found with the EDTA application. The two treatments with Metalosate[®] Iron show little difference. Only at the end of the season, there is an increase in the iron level at the basis of the 1-year-old branches, with a treatment of 0.8 L/Ha. The soil application has little effect.

All leaf samples have been measured with a Hydro N-Tester[™]. This value should be a measure for the photosynthesis and should give an idea of the nitrogen content in the leaves. In this trial there is no relation between both parameters. On the other hand, there is a correlation of 82% between the Hydro N-Tester[™] value and the magnesium content.

Fruit

The application of iron is done to promote greener fruits. At harvest, Treatment 2 (soil application) has the highest iron content in the fruits, but had the most yellow pears. The number was still negligible. Treatment 2 also had the highest sugar content and the smallest starch value at harvest.

After the harvest, Treatment 2 had again more yellow fruits. The best results were obtained by Treatment 1 (foliar application of EDTA). During shelf life, the number of green pears decreased so that the differences disappeared after one week.

Conclusion

The foliar applications didn't give any increase of the iron content in the fruits. Only with a soil application in spring we can increase the iron content in the fruits. Only when there are deficiency symptoms on the leaves, foliar applications can be a solution.



balchem.com/plant-nutrition